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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the nutritional assessment of children of low socio-economic status in a poor region of Minas Gerais, Brazil, using NCHS and World Health Organization (WHO) growth reference standards.

Methods: Cross-sectional study performed in three cities of the Alto Jequitinhonha Valley, Minas Gerais, Brazil. Data were collected during July, 2000. Comparative analysis was made between NCHS and the new WHO growth charts by Epi-Info 6.04b, Excel and Anthro 2005 programs.

Results: A total of 450 children were assessed in the study. The socioeconomic status of the children was low and 85.3% of their family earned less than half of the minimum wage per capita. Using respectively WHO and NCHS growth charts, weight/height deficiency was detected in 4.2 and 2.2% in children up to five months and 2.3 and 3.2% in those with 12 months or more; height/age deficiency was noted in 18.8 and 7.5% of the children up to five months and 2.8 and 7.4% in those with 12 months or more; overweight was shown in 12.5 and 8.6% up to five months of age, 7.4 and 5.1% in children with 12 months or more.

Conclusions: Differences in the detection of nutritional deficits were found. The WHO growth chart is more updated and the data was collected from children in good environment conditions and healthy feeding habits, favoring their normal growth. The WHO chart may be considered more adequate to assess children growth.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Analisar comparativamente a avaliação nutricional de crianças em uma região carente do estado de Minas Gerais, utilizando curva de crescimento padrão de referência do NCHS e da Organização Mundial da Saúde (OMS).


Resultados: Foram analisados dados de 450 crianças. A população era predominantemente de baixo nível socioeconômico, sendo 85,3% com renda per capita inferior a meio salário mínimo. Utilizando-se respectivamente as curvas da OMS e do NCHS, o déficit peso/altura foi detectado em 4,2 e 2,2% em crianças com até cinco meses e 2,3 e 3,2% com 12 ou mais meses; o déficit altura/idade em 18,8 e 7,5% com até cinco meses e 2,8 e 7,4% com 12 meses ou mais; o sobrepeso em 12,5 e 8,6% com até cinco meses e 7,4 e 5,1% com 12 meses ou mais.

Conclusões: Foram encontradas diferenças na detecção de deficiências nutricionais. As curvas da OMS são mais atualizadas e foram elaboradas a partir de uma amostra composta de crianças vivendo em boas condições ambientais e de hábitos alimentares saudáveis, o que possibilitou seu crescimento adequado, podendo ser consideradas mais apropriadas para o acompanhamento do crescimento.
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Introduction

Standard growth charts illustrate the growth of normal children and constitute a valuable instrument for assessing the health status of child populations, especially when employed correctly by health professionals. Growth charts are designed based on studies with individuals considered to be normal, supposedly living in environmental conditions that are favorable to the fulfillment of their potential for growth and development. The charts that are best known and most widely employed in Brazil are the growth curves derived from American children and published by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)\(^1\), formerly recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), and also charts plotted by Marcondes et al., based on data obtained with children from Santo André, Brazil\(^2\). More recently, charts were published by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)\(^3\), using growth data from American children (CDC, 2000) and based on more recent survey data when compared to the NCHS standards. Data were collected from 1963 to 1994 in the United States, and the new growth standards were published in May 2000, introducing certain innovations, such as the inclusion of body mass index and samples from several different ethnic groups. Nevertheless, the CDC charts still did not correctly illustrate the growth of breastfed children, particularly those on exclusive breastfeeding, since the infants included in the sample were on combined feeding with formula and breastmilk.

In April 2006, the WHO published new growth curves for children up to five years of age, based on a population study carried out between 1997 and 2003 in five countries: Brazil, Ghana, India, Oman, and the United States. The research project was entitled the “Multicenter Growth Reference Study” (MGRS), and it only enrolled children who were breastfed and whose feeding habits were in line with the nutritional standards currently considered satisfactory, particularly with relation to breastfeeding, and who were living in environmental conditions favorable to normal growth\(^4\). Therefore, the resulting curves illustrate the growth patterns of children with good nutrition and living in good environmental conditions, and are an international reference since they were based on data collected in more than one country.

Growth charts are important for assessing the nutritional status of children, in addition to being useful for detecting childhood health problems. The WHO considers these new charts to be an improvement on those used previously and recommends their use in Brazil and in other countries.

The applicability of the new charts has been demonstrated in a study involving five different countries with varying socioeconomic conditions\(^5\). However, few studies have been undertaken with the aim of evaluating the new charts and the results they provide in comparison with the NCHS curves. The objective of this study was therefore to comparatively analyze results obtained with the WHO and NCHS charts while assessing the nutritional status of children up to 24 months old in a region with a low socioeconomic status in the Brazilian state of Minas Gerais.

Methods

The present cross-sectional study was carried out in the municipalities of Carbonita, São Gonçalo do Rio Preto, and Datas, all in the Alto Jequitinhonha region in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil. The primary objective of the study was to evaluate the breastfeeding duration and nutritional status of children living in these three areas. All three municipalities are members of the Alto Jequitinhonha Intermunicipal Health Partnership (Conóvio Intermunicipal de Saúde do Alto Jequitinhonha, CISAJE), are under the responsibility of the Diamantina Regional Health Directorate (Diretoria Regional de Saúde de Diamantina), and are located 30, 50 and 120 kilometers, respectively, from Diamantina. These municipalities were selected for the study because they have total coverage from the Family Health Program (Programa de Saúde da Família, PSF) and because they are affiliated with the Rural Residency Program run by Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais (FCMMG), which facilitated implementation of the study. Carbonita, São Gonçalo do Rio Preto and Datas have a population of 11,000, 3,000 and 5,100 inhabitants, respectively. The purchasing power of the population is low in all three municipalities, and the main economic activities are extraction of timber and charcoal production in Carbonita, and farming in São Gonçalo do Rio Preto and Datas.

This study included data from all children up to 24 months old living in the three municipalities. Data collection was carried out in July 2000, during interviews with the children’s mothers or guardians, by medical students from Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) and FCMMG. During two months prior to data collection, the students were trained to administer the questionnaires and to collect anthropometric data. All training and data collection was carried out under the supervision of the principal investigator, and a pilot study was run two months before the start of the main research project.
The questionnaire employed consisted of closed questions designed to elicit the following variables: identification, date of birth, date of interview, breastfeeding, age at breastfeeding cessation, socioeconomic data, weight and length/height of the child. The children were weighed on pediatric balances accurate to 10 g, and measured in the horizontal position using anthropometric rules.

In urban areas, data collection was carried out at a health center or at the children’s home whenever their mothers did not attend. In the rural areas, in view of the wide dispersal of residences, interviews were conducted at locations previously chosen on the basis of the facilities available in each area (health centers, schools, community centers). Mothers and children were recruited at home with the aid of community health workers.

Data were analyzed on a microcomputer using Epi-Info version 6.04b, Excel, and Anthro 2005 (WHO). Malnutrition was classified in accordance with WHO recommendations, defining children as malnourished if their Z scores were below -2 and severely malnourished if their Z scores were below -3 Z for all indicators. The indicators analyzed were weight/age, height/age and weight/height. Children were defined as overweight if their weight/height Z score was greater than +2.

Results were plotted as graphs considering three age groups (up to five months, six to 11 months, 12 to 24 months), in line with the NCHS and WHO standards, for the detection of malnutrition and obesity. Comparisons were also made between the means obtained with both growth charts for seven age groups (up to three months, four to seven months, eight to 11 months, 12 to 15 months, 16 to 19 months, 20 to 23 months, 24 months).

The research protocol was approved by the Pediatrics Department and Research Ethics Committee at Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais.

**Results**

A total of 450 children with up to 24 months of age were analyzed, 234 (52.0%) males and 216 (48.0%) females. Their ages were as follows: 21.8% were less than six months old, 25.1% were six to 11 months old, 24.4% were 12-17 months old, and 28.7% were aged 18 to 24 months. The population was predominantly low-income; 85.3% of the children’s families had a per capita income of less than half the minimum monthly wage. Their dietary habits were unhealthy, with a low median duration of exclusive breastfeeding (1.5 month), although median breastfeeding duration was 10.9 months.

The comparison between NCHS and WHO charts in terms of the detection of malnourished children revealed that the WHO chart classified 4.2% of the children up to 5 months old as having weight/height deficit, while the result obtained with the NCHS curve was 2.2%; at six to 11 months, these figures were 2.8 and 0.9%, respectively; and at 12 months or more, 2.3 and 3.2% (Figure 1). Height/age deficit was detected by WHO and NCHS curves, respectively, in 18.8 and 7.5% of the children aged up to five months, in 17.9 and 14.0% of those aged six to 11 months, and in 14.8 and 11.1% of the children aged 12 months or more (Figure 2); weight/age deficit was detected in 9.4 and 8.6% of the children up to five months old, in 7.5 and 8.4% of those aged six...
to 11 months, and in 2.8 and 7.4% of those 12 months or older (Figure 3). Respectively, the WHO and NCHS charts classified as overweight 12.5 and 8.6% of the children aged up to five months, 7.5 and 6.5% of the children aged six to 11 months, and 7.4 and 5.1% of those aged 12 months or more (Figure 4).

With relation to mean weight/age Z scores, those calculated according to the WHO standard were found to be lower during the first months, with an intersection between seven and eight months; from that age on, the Z scores calculated according to NCHS were lower (Figure 5). Height/age Z scores calculated according to the WHO standard were lower during the first months, became equal to NCHS scores between seven and eight months, and then became lower again from 16 months on (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

The NCHS growth charts have been used for some decades in countries all over the world and their use has been recommended by the WHO. On the other hand, these charts have also been the subject of much debate, and their deficiencies have been analyzed in several different publications (6-9). The NCHS growth charts were first recommended for use in the United States in 1977. Later, they were also recommended for international use by the WHO, and were adopted by the Brazilian Ministry of Health. Considered to be the gold standard, these charts were accepted as the most adequate instruments to fulfill the aim of assessing growth and nutritional status of children in different populations. The NCHS charts were recommended on the basis of evidence that children’s growth is primarily influenced by factors such as nutrition, environment...
and the socioeconomic conditions of their families, which, if adequate, would result in anthropometric data that follow a similar pattern of development. Racial differences, therefore, were thought to have a lesser influence\(^\text{(10)}\), reducing probable ethnic interferences with the assessments, even taking into consideration the fact that only American children had been analyzed for the development of these curves.

Nevertheless, certain questions have been raised by many authors with relation to the methodology employed to design the NCHS curves and consequently to their use as an ideal standard. Worthy of note among the questions raised was the fact that the populations studied for these charts were predominantly composed of children who had not been breastfed. Using children with dietary habits that are considered healthier was in itself sufficient justification for the development of new growth standards. Furthermore, data for children less than three years old on the NCHS curves originated from surveys carried out between 1921 and 1975, and are no longer considered representative of the current child population\(^\text{(4-6)}\).

Therefore, the WHO developed a new reference standard based on breastfed children who had enjoyed environmental conditions favorable to their development. From this perspective, the new curves represent an improvement, since they illustrate the growth of children who are realizing their potential in the best manner possible. These curves can thus be considered to be not only descriptive, but also prescriptive, since they illustrate the way in which children “should grow,” and not just how they actually do grow in a specific place at a specific time\(^\text{(11)}\). Published in 2006, the WHO growth charts can be accessed at www.who.int/childgrowth/en. The document is extensive, including a great deal of information, graphs and tables that can be employed in clinical practice\(^\text{(12)}\).

The NCHS charts were developed based on data from children studied without the same inclusion and exclusion criteria defined for the WHO charts, and with children who had not been breastfed. Therefore, significant differences between the two reference charts were expected. In this study with children from the Alto Jequitinhonha region, differences were observed in all of the indicators analyzed. The WHO charts offered greater sensitivity for the detection of weight/height deficits (wasting), except in older children, and the same was true of height/age deficits (stunting), with higher prevalence rates associated with the WHO charts. The prevalence of weight/age deficits (underweight) according to the WHO charts was higher only in children less than six months of age; thereafter, the prevalence rate according to the NCHS charts was greater. The WHO charts also detected more overweight in all age groups. These results are comparable with previously reported findings\(^\text{(13)}\).

Comparing mean Z scores calculated according to the two standards, weight scores resulted greater using the NCHS charts up to approximately six months of age, with the reverse being true thereafter. The low duration of exclusive breastfeeding found in the study population may have affected this result, namely, median exclusive breastfeeding and median breastfeeding durations of 1.5 months and 10.9 months, respectively\(^\text{(14,15)}\). Furthermore, children with adequate dietary habits should grow healthy, without becoming overweight or accumulating fatty tissues; the fact that WHO charts detect more overweight may therefore explain the higher mean Z scores obtained for weight. Mean height Z scores were higher using the NCHS charts during the first months and again after 12 months. These findings also demonstrate that the children studied for the WHO curves had better growth, and the WHO charts offer greater sensitivity for the detection of growth deficiencies.

It is important to point out that the children assessed in the present study originate from families with a low socioeconomic status and live in a poor region of the state. The increased detection of nutritional deficiencies, particularly chronic deficiencies, may be evidence that the new charts are more appropriate since they reflect the poor living conditions of this population.

The new WHO growth charts have been tested in another study\(^\text{(2)}\), which investigated children from countries with various socioeconomic conditions. More nutritional deficiencies were detected in Pakistan, while in Italy and Argentina the prevalence rates were lower. Furthermore, an adequate association was observed between clinical diagnosis and anthropometric assessment using the new charts.

In sum, the WHO growth charts are more appropriate for assessing children when compared with the NCHS charts, since they illustrate growth in the presence of the conditions necessary for that growth. In this study, considerable differences were observed between the assessments of these children according to the two standards. Considering that several different studies have already demonstrated that environmental factors are decisive for healthy growth, the new charts should be implemented and disseminated, both in the general population and among health professionals, with an emphasis on the importance of healthy nutrition and basic care to the development of all children, in fulfillment of their whole potential.
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